



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,049	07/13/2001	Hiromasa Shibata	450100-03344	3460
20999 75	590 \ 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			LEE, Y YOUNG	
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	'ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2613	Н
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/905,049	SHIBATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Y. Lee	2613			
The MAII ING DATE of	this communication ann	ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply	ano communication app	cars on the bover shock with the b	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. If the period for reply specified above is 1f NO period for reply is specified above. Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Idate of this communication. I less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period w ed period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are sub	s) is/are withdrav llowed. ected. bjected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is obje	cted to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of Certified copies of Some * c) Certified copies of the certi	None of: If the priority documents If the priority documents If the priority documents If the priority documents If the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8	92)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "AV Signal Processing Apparatus for Detecting and Analyzing a Boundary Between Scenes".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagasaka et al (6,400,890).

Nagasaka et al, in Figures 1-6 and 9-15, discloses an image retrieving method and apparatuses using the same recording medium 2 on which a computer-readable program for AV signal processing for detecting and analyzing a pattern which reflects a significance structure of contents of an AV signal 6 supplied thereto to detect a scene of

Art Unit: 2613

a significant break as specified in claims 1-7 and 9-12 of the present invention, comprising feature amount extraction means 106 for extracting feature amounts of segments each formed from a series of frames which form the AV signal; calculation means 10 for calculating a measurement criterion to be used for measurement of a similarity of the feature amounts between a reference segment and other segments; similarity measurement means 130 for using the measurement criterion to measure the similarity between the reference segment 116 and the other segments 100; measurement value calculation means 228 for using the similarity measured by the similarity measurement means 130 to calculate a measurement value indicative of a possibility that the reference segment may be a boundary of the scene (Fig. 3); and boundary discrimination means for analyzing a variation of a pattern with respect to time of the measurement value calculated by the measurement value calculation means and discriminating based on a result of the analysis whether or not the reference segment is the boundary of the scene (Fig. 6).

With respect to claims 2-7, 9, and 10, the AV signal of Nagasaka et al includes at least one of a video signal 1912 and an audio signal 1922; intensity value calculation means for calculating an intensity value indicative of a degree of the variation (206, 212, 218, 220) of the measurement value corresponding to the reference segment 116; the measurement value calculation means calculates similar segments in a predetermined time area with respect to the reference segment 116, analyses the time distribution of the similar segments and determines a ratio at which the similar segments are present in the past and in the future to calculate the measurement value (Fig. 6); the boundary

Art Unit: 2613

discrimination means discriminates based on a sum total of the absolute values of the measurement values (i.e. non-negative values) whether or not the reference segment is the boundary of the scene; audio segment production means 1920 for detecting, when the AV signal includes a video signal 1912, a shot which is a basic unit of a video segment (e.g. frame) to produce the audio segment; audio segment production means 1920 for using, when the AV signal includes an audio signal 1922, at least one of he feature amount of the audio signal and a no sound period to produce an audio segment (e.g. vertical blanking interval); wherein the feature amounts 1970 of the video signal 1912 at least include at least one of a sound volume and a spectrum 1922; and wherein the boundary discrimination means compares the measurement value with a preset threshold value (e.g. one, two, or three frame comparisons) to discriminate whether or not the reference segment is a boundary of the scene (Fig. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2613

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al in view of Yeo et al (5,708,767).

Although Nagasaka et al discloses various feature amounts of the video signal, it is noted Nagasaka et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose a color histogram as specified in claim 8. Yeo et al, however, teaches the concept of such well known feature in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Nagasaka et al and Yeo et al before him/her, to exploit other common video extraction techniques as taught by Yeo et al in the AV signal processing apparatus of Nagasaka et al in order to capture the important relations within a scene and between scenes in a video.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshio et al discloses clip display method and display device therefore.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 308-7584.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613